

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/738,981	81 12/20/2000		Yoshikazu Kobayashi	362852/99 2679		
30743	7590	03/07/2005		EXAMINER		
•		S & CHRISTOFF	SCHEIBEL, ROBERT C			
11491 SUNSE	ET HILLS	ROAD		A DOWN TO LOOK	D. D.D. 140 (D.D.D.	
SUITE 340				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RESTON, VA	A 20190			2666		

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		g x					
27	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/738,981	KOBAYASHI, YOSH	IIKAZU				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	-				
,	Robert C. Scheibel	2666					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 15 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appearamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire. 	Iment, affidavit, or other evidence, we all fee) in compliance with 37 CFR of the reply must be filed within one of the grate of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forthe ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	which places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque he following time peri in the final rejection, wh g date of the final rejecti	ication in st for Continued ods: ichever is later. In on.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLT WAS P	ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
 The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appwas filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS 	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing	the Notice of				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a			the issues for				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	,				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-11. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		II be entered and an e	explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	rit or other evidence is	s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	date of filing a brief.	will not be				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

13. Other: ___.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a

showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The independent claims 1 and 10 have been amended to include new limitations. Some of these new limitations were previously claimed in dependent claims, while other limitations were not included in the previous set of claims.